Matthew 27:57-28:15
"The Battle for the Bones of Jesus"
Sermon
Tim Black

1.

Introduction - "The Lost Tomb of Jesus"

1.

The Documentary

1.

Title: "The Lost Tomb of Jesus"

2.

Produced by James Cameron, the director of a documentary on the "Titanic." Directed by Simcha Jacobovici, a Jew.

3.

Aired March 4, 2007 on the Discovery Channel.

4.

Its Facts

1.

Tomb was discovered in 1980 in the Jerusalem suburb of Talpiot.

2.

It contained 10 ossuaries, which are "bone boxes," which contained the bones of people who had been buried in the tomb. Six of the ossuaries were inscribed with the name of the person whose bones were contained in each box. The names are written in Hebrew, Greek, and Aramaic, and most are written legibly enough that I can read them. Those names read:

1.

Matia / Matthew

2.

Jose / Joseph

3.

Maria / Mary

4.

Yeshua Bar Yoseph / Jesus, son of Joseph (poorly written)

5.

Mariamene e Mara / Mary (could read Mary and Martha)

6.

Yehuda bar Yeshua / Judah, son of Jesus

Matt. 27:57-28:15 - The Battle for the Bones of Jesus

Written by Tim Black Wednesday, 11 April 2007 13:21 - Last Updated Wednesday, 11 April 2007 13:27

7.

Mark 6:3 says that Jesus had several brothers, and lists them as "James, Joses, Judas, and Simon." Jesus also had sisters, Salome and perhaps Miriam.

8.

After the bone boxes were taken out of the tomb and examined, the bones were reburied to respect the wishes of the Orthodox Jews in Jerusalem.

9.

Its Claims

1.

The documentary takes these facts and claims they indicate this was the tomb of Jesus, and that it contained the bones of Jesus. The documentary proposes the following conclusions:

1.

Jesus did not physically rise from the dead, though He may have risen from the dead in a "spiritual" way. $\frac{1}{2}$

2.

Mary Magdalene was buried in the tomb (assuming she was Mariamene).

3.

Mary Magdalene was Jesus' wife.

4.

A stone box labeled "Judah, son of Jesus" belonged to their son.

5.

Mary the mother of Jesus was buried near him, as was a relative named Matthew.

6.

Claims to give tangible historical evidence for the mere fact of Jesus' existence.

7.

The key argument in support of these claims is that it is statistically improbable that so many names which scripture indicates are the names of Jesus' family members would appear in a tomb together, unless it was in fact the tomb of Jesus' family. The statistical argument runs like this: Scripture does not say Jesus had relatives named Judah or Matthew who might be buried in the same tomb with him, so they are left out of the equation. The remaining four names were had by 1/190, 1/160, 1/20, and 1/4 people in Jesus' day, so by multiplying those fractions together, and correcting for possible error, conservatively, we would expect these names to occur together only in 1/600 tombs.

8.

Its Evaluation

1.

This statistical argument is flawed.

1.

First, because these names are **common names**. The director claims—the name used on the ossuary for Mary Magdalene, Mariamene, was—rare, had only by 1/160 people, but in fact it is just a different form of the far more common name Mary, had by 1/4 people. This in itself drastically decreases the odds that this—was the tomb of Jesus.

2.

Second, because Jose could be Jesus' **father Joseph** rather than His brother Jose. In that case, the probability of finding a bone box named "Jesus, son of Joseph" next to a bone box named "Joseph" is very high. It is no surprise to find these two bone boxes next to each other; they are not statistically independent of one another. This second flaw in the statistics reduces the odds that this was the tomb of the Biblical Jesus in a similarly drastic manner.

3.

Third, the presence of names in the tomb which scripture does **not** indicate are **relatives** of Jesus serves to further

reduce the odds that this was the tomb of Jesus.

4.

The documentary is unwilling to take these further statistical considerations into account.

5.

There are many other arguments both for and against the claims of the documentary. Notable arguments against the documentary:

1.

"Jesus" The inscription that is claimed to read is the hardest to read, and may not actually spell but rather some other name.

2.

Incorrect theology. Why would Jesus' followers write on His bone box that He was the "Son of Joseph" when they knew Joseph was not His biological father?

3.

No support by archaeologists. Top archaeologists consider the movie's claims preposterous. The original discoverer of the tomb denies that it could be the tomb of Jesus. $\frac{3}{2}$

4.

Other burial places more well attested. There are other more historically trustworthy locations for the burial place of Jesus—at the Church of the Holy Sepulchre in Jerusalem, and of His mother Mary—either beneath the Dormition Abbey in Jerusalem, or in Ephesus.

5.

Dr. James H. Charlesworth, Professor of New Testament Language and Literature at Princeton Theological Seminary says:

-

"1) The scribbling is not an inscription, it is a sloppy graffiti.

2) The name "Jesus" before "son of Joseph" is the most difficult name to read among all the names in the tomb.

3) All archaeologists and historians know that the names "Jesus" and "Joseph" are two of the most common names in first-century Jerusalem.

4) The ossuary is remarkably ordinary without any ornamentation; this may indicate that the remains placed inside belonged to someone rather common.

5) After Jesus' crucifixion as a common criminal, some priests—wanted to stop (even kill) those who were claiming that Jesus—was the Son of God because God had raised him from the dead.—They could have produced the bones of Jesus rather easily and—thus thwarted those who claimed that God had raised Jesus

from the dead.

6) The so-called "Jesus tomb" is not far from the place where Caiaphas's ossuary was recovered. The "Jesus tomb" is decorated and elegant and would have been clearly visible before 70 CE when Roman soldiers destroyed the area. The priests who sought to stop the Palestinian Jesus Movement would have known about this tomb, regardless of who was placed inside.

7) The authors of the Gospels report that Jesus was placed in a tomb prepared for the family of Joseph of Arimathea; there is no New Testament evidence that

Jesus' family had a tomb." 4

1.

The broader problem

1.

In recent years marketers have started a new tradition of coming out with these sorts of critiques of traditional Christianity right before Easter.

1.

Last year it was Dan Brown's Da Vinci Code novel and movie.

2.

In previous years it has been a resurgence of interest in the Gnostic gospels and TV programs claiming that Jesus was merely human.

3.

Other fantastic claims that have been made:

Jesus was a woman.

Jesus was a space alien and is buried in Japan.

11 / 81

Jesus survived the crucifixion and is buried in the Kashmir region of India.

_

Jesus was insane.

_

Jesus was a Buddhist.

_

Jesus was a Muslim.

-

Jesus was a Mormon.

-

Jesus was a magician.

-

Jesus was a Gnostic.

_

Jesus was the son of Mary and a Roman solider.

_

Jesus never existed.

-

Jesus was never executed.

-

Jesus was married and had children.

_

Jesus was a social revolutionary when he was not a mere Mediterranean peasant.

Jesus was an itinerant visionary whose real teachings exist only in distorted, fragmented form.

Why do people do this? It is because there is a **b** attle for the bones of Jesus

1.

That battle is a <u>physical</u> battle, an <u>intellectual</u> battle, and a

spiritual

battle. Our passage today has 4 sections:

Jesus is buried 27:57-61

The guard at the tomb 27:62-66

The resurrection 28:1-10

The report of the guard 28:11-15

15 / 81

In the first and third sections the text focuses on the actions of believers. In the second and fourth sections the text focuses on the actions of unbelievers. The believers bury Christ's body and witness His resurrection. The unbelievers seek to prevent Christ's body from being stolen and to explain away the resurrection. This juxtaposition of the contrasting and conflicting actions of believers and unbelievers indicates there is a battle. And it is a battle for the bones of Jesus.

1 "If Jesus' mortal remains have been found, this would contradict the idea of a physical ascension but not the idea of a spiritual ascension." Quoted from http://dsc.discovery.com/convergence/tomb/theology/theology.html

.

2 By my very unscientific reworking of the statistics, the odds are not 600 to 1 for, but 13.2 to 10 against, this being the tomb of Jesus.

3 "The official report written by the archeologist Amos Kloner found nothing remarkable in the discovery. The cave, it said, was probably in use by three or four generations of Jews from the beginning of the Common Era. It was disturbed in antiquity, and vandalized. The names on the boxes were common in the first century (25 percent of women in Jerusalem, for example, were called Miriam or a derivative)." Quoted from http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/17328478/site/newsweek/page/2/

<u>4</u> Quoted from http://www.ptsem.edu/NEWS/images/Charlesworth%20comment.pdf

1.

Body

1.

The Physical Battle 27:57-66

1.

First, it is a <u>physical battle</u>. A battle for the physical bones of Jesus.

1.

Joseph of Arimathea

_

"Disciple of Jesus"

"

asked for the body"

In order to keep Jewish law. A dead body could not legally remain on the cross for more than 24 hours, and it could not be taken down and buried on the following day because it was the Sabbath, so it had to be buried today. Joseph of Arimathea saw this need and so made a way for the law to be kept.

Joseph's purpose is not primarily to gain **possession** of the body of Christ, though this is the result of his action.

"Mary Magdalene and the other Mary were there, sitting opposite the tomb."

Notice that the location of this tomb was known to Joseph, to Mary Magdalene and the other Mary, AND to the chief priests, the Pharisees, Pilate, and the guard of soldiers. When the soldiers and the Jews later told the false explanation of the empty tomb, they no doubt made the location of this tomb known to the general public. This lends more support to the traditionally held location of

Jesus' tomb than to any new discovery of a tomb at a different location.

The guard

"after the day of Preparation"

Impiety - Business on Manner: the Sabbath. The chief priests and Pharisees considered it a work of necessity to petition Pilate on the Sabbath. I'm surprised they were willing to do this. This gives a slight shade of impiety to their intent from the outset.

"imposter...fraud"

22 / 81

Defame Christ and Mindset: His disciples. These are based on same root word in the the Greek. Notice that here you can Jews preparing for the see the intellectual battle already. Their "Plan A" is the physical battle, but if that fails, they have an explanation ready: His disciples stole Him away and will tell the people a lie that "He has risen from the dead."

23 / 81

"tomb to be made secure"

Means: Keep Christ's bones in the tomb.

Your response.

have little doubt that if you were present for these events, would want to take part in this physical battle. You who are believers would want to help Christ receive a God-honoring burial like Joseph did, and would want to visit Christ's grave the Marys did. And the unbelievers of today would want lock Jesus' bones in the tomb so we could not pull the greatest hoax in human history

on the world. And in fact today, unbelievers hope they can find Christ's bones in order to prove that Christ's resurrection was a hoax after all.

The Intellectual Battle

28:11-15

1

Second, the battle for the bones of Jesus is an intellectual battle lt is not that believers and unbelievers can gain possession Jesus' bones by means of a successful argument, but rather they battle intellectually over where in fact the bones of Christ are ocated

. Where are they? In Jerusalem? India? Japan? Or in heaven, seated at the right hand of God the Father?

2.

The Jews began this intellectual battle even before Christ rose from the dead. This is not unlike the current practice of airing anti-Christian documentaries immediately prior to the celebration of Easter. But the intellectual battle began

in earnest immediately after the resurrection.

3.

The report of the guard 11-15

1.

"all that had taken place"

The truth. It appears the guard gave an accurate and complete account of the fact that Christ rose from the dead.

"taken counsel...we will satisfy him"

The conspiracy. This is quite literally a conspiracy.

"a sufficient sum of money"

The bribe. The Jews

give the guard a selfish motive to disseminate a false report.

"stole Him away"

The lie. Those who claimed Christ was an "imposter

" promoting " fraud

" are now engaging in fraud themselves. The guard had told them the truth, but the Jews deliberately suppress the truth in their unrighteousness. This protects the Jews'

intellectual, ecclesiastical, and political position, but it involves serious intellectual problems.

The lie the Jews concocted is the same story told by the documentary. It is still

circulated to this day. The tomb of which the documentary speaks is very likely not the tomb of Joseph of Arimathea. So, for Jesus' bones to arrive in the documentary's tomb, they had to be removed from Joseph's tomb and transported to the tomb in Talpiot. The

and the documentary scholarly buzz surrounding it focuses carefully on this very passage, noting that immediately after the resurrection, some people believed Christ's body had been stolen by His disciples.

But would the disciples really have been able to sneak past the guards while the guards were asleep? The Jews had paid the guards to stay awake! And now they pay the guards to falsely admit that they had fallen asleep, the

very thing they had been paid not to do. The story doesn't ring true.

And further, if someone had stolen the body, it would have been either the Romans, the Jews, or the disciples. If

Written by Tim Black Wednesday, 11 April 2007 13:21 - Last Updated Wednesday, 11 April 2007 13:27

either the it was Romans or the Jews who moved the body, they have produced could the body to disprove the disciples' claim that Jesus rose from the dead. Why didn't they do so? If it was the disciples who moved the body, why were they willing to die for their claim that Jesus

rose from the dead, when they knew He did not? Again, the Jews' story doesn't ring true.

Yet unbelievers continually develop new ways of explaining away the resurrection. And

Written by Tim Black Wednesday, 11 April 2007 13:21 - Last Updated Wednesday, 11 April 2007 13:27

those explanations always fail to fully prove that Christ did not rise from the dead.

"this story has been



The effect: deception

Written by Tim Black Wednesday, 11 April 2007 13:21 - Last Updated Wednesday, 11 April 2007 13:27

The spiritual battle 28:1-10

1.

Lastly, there is a

spiritual battle for the bones of Jesus. And this battle makes all the difference. In this battle, the onslaught of unbelief is completely vanquished. Because of the overwhelming spiritual power of Jesus Christ, unbelievers will never win the physical or intellectual battle for

the bones of Jesus. The issue is not merely that Jesus' physical bones can't be found, it is not merely that their earthly location can't be finally ascertained through intellectual inquiry, but the issue is that Jesus Christ is the true and living God, and no mere mortal can defeat Him.

Consider the glorious and awesome power of the living God! A minuscule portion of His mighty power is on display in the angel He sent to the tomb.

1.

The angel 1-4

"a great earthquake"

"angel of the Lord"

Angels are incomparably more powerful and holy than men! And yet before God Himself the angels cover their faces and cry "Holy, Holy, Holy!" Their holiness is absolutely nothing compared to the infinite holiness

of God!

"rolled stone"

back the

"His appearance was like lightning, and his clothing white as snow."

"for fear of him the guards trembled and became like dead men."

If you are not trembling in your

heart because of the incredibly terrible power of this angel, you don't yet understand that all the Roman guards in the world cannot cage the .lesus! bones of

If you are more impressed by the arguments of a television documentary than by the earth-shaking power of this angelic spirit of utter astounding

brightness and power, you do not yet know the power of the Almighty God!

And this angel

Written by Tim Black Wednesday, 11 April 2007 13:21 - Last Updated Wednesday, 11 April 2007 13:27

came from that God!

55 / 81

The message 5-7

"Do not be afraid...He is not here, for He has risen."

Amazingly, the angel tells the women not to fear. The reason is that the angel knows they are

seeking Jesus. The angel is not here to destroy those who seek Jesus, but to announce the message that Jesus is risen from the dead.

Neither believers or unbelievers will win the physical battle for the bones of Jesus. No, instead,

Jesus Himself won that battle. raised His bones from the dead, and He takes His flesh and bones where He wants them to be. Unbelievers want to

Christs' secure grave in order to possess His physical bones, but Christ alone holds the keys of Death and Hades! He has taken captivity captive,

and now gives the gift of resurrection men. He is to the Resurrection and the Life. Do you believe this?

Written by Tim Black Wednesday, 11 April 2007 13:21 - Last Updated Wednesday, 11 April 2007 13:27

Your response 8-10

you do, then in lf your heart the spiritual battle for the bones of Jesus has already been won. Christ has won it by

raising your heart to life, and on the final day He will raise your body as well to life in glory. His bones are not bound by sin and death and hell, and

neither are yours. The spiritual battle for the bones of Jesus is over.

And so is the

intellectual battle. The women's message now is no longer that Jesus is buried in the tomb, but rather that He is risen from the dead. It is this message—that

Jesus is risen from the dead—that now is the truth of convicting, convincing, converting power that they carry to the apostles, and to

world. It is the the message of the gospel, and this alone, that Will triumph over the intellectual arguments of unbelief. The gospel must be

presented in all the fullness of its evidential support and sound intellectual rigor, all of its logical consistency, but it is the gospel's call to repent and

believe on
Jesus Christ that
will resurrect the
dry bones of the
lost, deceived,
and dying world.

Matt. 27:57-28:15 - The Battle for the Bones of Jesus

Written by Tim Black Wednesday, 11 April 2007 13:21 - Last Updated Wednesday, 11 April 2007 13:27

so the And physical battle is over as well. James Cameron Simcha and Jacobovici will never find the dead

bones of Jesus. Because He is alive, and exalted to the right hand of He will God, and come again to judge the world. Unbelievers will claim to have

found his tomb time and again, and time and again you should always challenge them and say, "Show me the bones!" They cannot. And they will not.

Matt. 27:57-28:15 - The Battle for the Bones of Jesus

Written by Tim Black Wednesday, 11 April 2007 13:21 - Last Updated Wednesday, 11 April 2007 13:27 Written by Tim Black Wednesday, 11 April 2007 13:21 - Last Updated Wednesday, 11 April 2007 13:27

1.

Conclusion

1.

Where are the bones of Jesus?

Why can't you show them to me? Why can't you prove beyond a shadow of a doubt where His grave lies? Why can't you prove He didn't rise from the dead?

Grave, where is your victory? Death, where is your sting? Because of Christ's resurrection, they are gone.

2.

"Thanks be to God, who gives us the victory through our Lord Jesus Christ! " **Corinthians 15:57**

Matt. 27:57-28:15 - The Battle for the Bones of Jesus

Written by Tim Black Wednesday, 11 April 2007 13:21 - Last Updated Wednesday, 11 April 2007 13:27